Bethe ansatz equations for Bariev's correlated electron chain with boundaries

Yao-Zhong Zhang * and Huan-Qiang Zhou †
Department of Mathematics, University of Queensland, Brisbane, Qld 4072, Australia

arXiv:cond-mat/9708003v1 [cond-mat.str-el] 1 Aug 1997

The Bethe ansatz equations are presented for Bariev's correlated electron chain with boundaries. This is achieved by using the coordinate space Bethe ansatz method.

PACS numbers: 71.20.Ad, 75.10.Jm, 75.10.Lp

This work concerns with Bariev's correlated electron model [1] with boundary terms. The quantum integrability of this boundary model has been established by one of the present authors [2] using Sklyanin's formalism for the boundary quantum inverse scattering method [3]. The aim of this report is to diagonalize this model by means of the coordinate space Bethe ansatz technique, which has been used to solve the Hubbard open chain [4–6] and the supersymmetric U model [7] with boundary terms [8]. We will derive the Bethe ansatz equations. Our results may be used to investigate the finite size corrections to the low-lying energies in the system.

Our starting point is the Hamiltonian of Bariev's correlated electron model with boundaries:

$$\begin{aligned} H &= H^{\text{bulk}} + H^{\text{boundary}}, \\ H^{\text{bulk}} &= \sum_{j=1}^{L-1} [(c^\dagger_{j\uparrow} c_{j+1\uparrow} + c^\dagger_{j+1\uparrow} c_{j\uparrow}) \exp(\eta n_{j+1\downarrow}) + (c^\dagger_{j\downarrow} c_{j+1\downarrow} + c^\dagger_{j+1\downarrow} c_{j\downarrow}) \exp(\eta n_{j\uparrow})], \\ H^{\text{boundary}} &= c_+ \exp(3\eta)[2\sinh\eta n_{N\uparrow} n_{N\downarrow} + \exp(-\eta)(n_{N\uparrow} + n_{N\downarrow})] \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{c_- \exp\eta}[2\sinh\eta n_{1\uparrow} n_{1\downarrow} + \exp(-\eta)(n_{1\uparrow} + n_{1\downarrow})]. \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

Here $c^\dagger_{j\alpha}$ and $c_{j\alpha}$ are, respectively, the creation and annihilation operators of electrons with spin $\alpha(=\uparrow \text{ or } \downarrow)$ at site j and $n_{j\alpha}$ is the number density operator.

In order to derive the Bethe ansatz equations for the model, we assume that the eigenfunction of Hamiltonian (1) takes the form

$$|\Psi\rangle = \sum_{\{(x_j,\sigma_j)\}} \Psi_{\sigma_1,\cdots,\sigma_N}(x_1,\cdots,x_N) c^\dagger_{x_1\sigma_1} \cdots c^\dagger_{x_N\sigma_N} |0\rangle,$$

$$\Psi_{\sigma 1,\cdots,\sigma N}(x_1,\cdots,x_N) = \sum_P \epsilon_P A_{\sigma_{Q1},\cdots,\sigma_{QN}}(k_{PQ1},\cdots,k_{PQN}) \exp(i\sum_{j=1}^N k_{P_j} x_j), \tag{2}$$

where the summation is taken over all permutations and negations of $k_1,\cdots,k_N$, and Q is the permutation of the N particles such that $1 \le x_{Q1} \le \cdots \le x_{QN} \le L$. The symbol ϵ_P is a sign factor ± 1 and changes its sign under each 'mutation'. Substituting the wavefunction into the the eigenvalue equation $H|\Psi\rangle = E|\Psi\rangle$, one may get

$$\begin{aligned} A_{\cdots,\sigma_j,\sigma_i,\cdots}(\cdots,k_j,k_i,\cdots) &= S_{ij}(k_i,k_j) A_{\cdots,\sigma_i,\sigma_j,\cdots}(\cdots,k_i,k_j,\cdots), \\ A_{\sigma_i,\cdots}(-k_j,\cdots) &= s^L(k_j;p_{1\sigma_i}) A_{\sigma_i,\cdots}(k_j,\cdots), \\ A_{\cdots,\sigma_i}(\cdots,-k_j) &= s^R(k_j;p_{L\sigma_i}) A_{\cdots,\sigma_i}(\cdots,k_j), \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

where S_{ij} is the two-particle scattering matrix, and s^L and s^R are the boundary scattering matrices:

$$S_{ij}(k_i,k_j) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{\sinh(i\frac{k_1-k_2}{2})}{\sinh(i\frac{k_1-k_2}{2}+\eta)} & \frac{e^{i\frac{k_1-k_2}{2}}\sinh\eta}{\sinh(i\frac{k_1-k_2}{2}+\eta)} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{e^{-i\frac{k_1-k_2}{2}}\sinh\eta}{\sinh(i\frac{k_1-k_2}{2}+\eta)} & \frac{\sinh(i\frac{k_1-k_2}{2})}{\sinh(i\frac{k_1-k_2}{2}+\eta)} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

---

[*] Queen Elizabeth II Fellow. E-mail: yzz@maths.uq.edu.au

[†] On leave of absence from Dept of Physics, Chongqing University, Chongqing 630044, China. E-mail: hqzhou@cqu.edu.cn

$$
\begin{aligned}
s^L(k_j;p_{1\sigma_i}) &= \frac{1-p_{1\sigma_i}e^{ik_j}}{1-p_{1\sigma_i}e^{-ik_j}},\\
s^R(k_j;p_{L\sigma_i}) &= \frac{1-p_{L\sigma_i}e^{-ik_j}}{1-p_{L\sigma_i}e^{ik_j}}e^{2ik_j(L+1)}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{4}
$$

In the above equations,

$$
p_{1\sigma} \equiv p_1 = c_-^{-1}e^{-2\eta}, \qquad p_{L\sigma} \equiv p_L = c_+e^{2\eta}. \tag{5}
$$

For later use,let us introduce the notation

$$
s(k;p) = \frac{1-pe^{-ik}}{1-pe^{ik}}. \tag{6}
$$

Then, the boundary scattering matrices s^L and s^R may be expressed as

$$
\begin{aligned}
s^L(k_j;p_{1\sigma_i}) &= s(-k_j;p_{1\sigma_i}),\\
s^R(k_j;p_{L\sigma_i}) &= s(k_j;p_{L\sigma_i})e^{ik_j2(L+1)}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{7}
$$

As is seen from the above equations, the two-particle S matrix is nothing but the R-matrix of the asymmetric six-vertex model, which satisfies the quantum Yang-Baxter equation (QYBE),

$$
S_{ij}(k_i,k_j)S_{il}(k_i,k_l)S_{jl}(k_j,k_l) = S_{jl}(k_j,k_l)S_{il}(k_i,k_l)S_{ij}(k_i,k_j) \tag{8}
$$

whereas the boundary scattering matrices s^L and s^R are propotional to unit matrices, which satisfy the reflection equations,

$$
\begin{aligned}
&S_{ji}(-k_j,-k_i)s^L(k_j;p_{1\sigma_j})S_{ij}(-k_i,k_j)s^L(k_i;p_{1\sigma_i})\\
&\qquad = s^L(k_i;p_{1\sigma_i})S_{ji}(-k_j,k_i)s^L(k_j;p_{1\sigma_i})S_{ij}(k_i,k_j),\\
&S_{ji}(-k_j,-k_i)s^R(k_j;p_{L\sigma_j})S_{ij}(k_i,-k_j)s^R(k_i;p_{L\sigma_i})\\
&\qquad = s^R(k_i;p_{L\sigma_i})S_{ji}(k_j,-k_i)s^R(k_j;p_{L\sigma_i});p_{\sigma_i})S_{ji}(k_j,k_i).
\end{aligned}
\tag{9}
$$

Indeed, substituting (7) into (9), one obtains the consistency contition for the matrix $s(k_j;p_{\sigma_i})$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
&S_{ij}(k_i,k_j)s(k_i;p_{\sigma_i})S_{ji}(k_j,-k_i)s(k_j;p_{\sigma_j})\\
&\qquad = s(k_j;p_{\sigma_j})S_{ij}(k_i,-k_j)s(k_i;p_{\sigma_i})S_{ji}(-k_j,-k_i).
\end{aligned}
\tag{10}
$$

This is just the reflection equation discussed in Mezincescu and Nepomechie's work [9] (see also [2]), which admits a trivial solution $s \propto 1$. Then, the diagonalization of Hamiltonian (1) is reduced to solving the following matrix eigenvalue equation

$$
T_j\,t = t, \qquad j = 1,\cdots,N, \tag{11}
$$

where t denotes an eigenvector on the space of the spin variables and T_j takes the form

$$
T_j = S_j^-(k_j)s^L(-k_j;p_{1\sigma_j})R_j^-(k_j)R_j^+(k_j)s^R(k_j;p_{L\sigma_j})S_j^+(k_j) \tag{12}
$$

with

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_j^+(k_j) &= S_{j,N}(k_j,k_N)\cdots S_{j,j+1}(k_j,k_{j+1}),\\
S_j^-(k_j) &= S_{j,j-1}(k_j,k_{j-1})\cdots S_{j,1}(k_j,k_1),\\
R_j^-(k_j) &= S_{1,j}(k_1,-k_j)\cdots S_{j-1,j}(k_{j-1},-k_j),\\
R_j^+(k_j) &= S_{j+1,j}(k_{j+1},-k_j)\cdots S_{N,j}(k_N,-k_j).
\end{aligned}
\tag{13}
$$

This problem may be solved using the algebraic Bethe Ansatz method. Indeed, it can be shown that $[T_i,T_j]=0$ for any $i,\ j=1,\cdots,N$, which implies that $T_j, j=1,2,\cdots,N$ are simultaneously diagonalizable. We will not present the proof here, but mention that T_j is related to Sklyanin's transfer matrix $\tau(\lambda)$ for an inhomogeneous asymmetric six-vertex model with open boundary:

$$\begin{aligned} T_j &= \frac{e^{-ik_j 2(L+1)}}{\xi(k_j;p_1)\xi(k_j;p_L)}\,\tau(\lambda=k_j), \\ \tau(\lambda) &= tr_0(MT_0(\lambda)T_0^{-1}(-\lambda)), \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \xi(k;p) &= \frac{1-pe^{-ik}}{1-pe^{ik}}, \\ T_0(\lambda) &= S_{0N}(\lambda-k_N)\cdots S_{01}(\lambda-k_1), \\ M &= \frac{\sinh(i\lambda+\eta)}{\sinh(i\lambda+2\eta)}\begin{pmatrix} e^{-\eta} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{\eta} \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

Therefore, the commutativity of the Sklyanin's transfer matrix $\tau(\lambda)$ for different values of λ guarantees the commutativity of T_j's. Diagonalizing $\tau(\lambda)$ using the algebraic (or analytical) Bethe ansatz method and setting $\lambda = k_j$, we derive the following Bethe ansatz equations

$$\begin{aligned} e^{ik_j 2(L+1)}\xi(k_j;p_1)\xi(k_j;p_L) &= \prod_{\beta=1}^{M}\frac{\sinh(i\frac{k_j-v_\alpha}{2}+\frac{\eta}{2})\sinh(i\frac{k_j+v_\alpha}{2}+\frac{\eta}{2})}{\sinh(i\frac{k_j-v_\alpha}{2}-\frac{\eta}{2})\sinh(i\frac{k_j+v_\alpha}{2}-\frac{\eta}{2})}, \\ \prod_{j=1}^{N}\frac{\sinh(i\frac{v_\alpha-k_j}{2}+\frac{\eta}{2})\sinh(i\frac{v_\alpha+k_j}{2}+\frac{\eta}{2})}{\sinh(i\frac{v_\alpha-k_j}{2}-\frac{\eta}{2})\sinh(i\frac{v_\alpha+k_j}{2}-\frac{\eta}{2})} &= \prod_{\substack{\beta=1\\ \beta\neq\alpha}}^{M}\frac{\sinh(i\frac{v_\alpha-v_\beta}{2}+\eta)\sinh(i\frac{v_\alpha+v_\beta}{2}+\eta)}{\sinh(i\frac{v_\alpha-v_\beta}{2}-\eta)\sinh(i\frac{v_\alpha+v_\beta}{2}-\eta)}. \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

With the solutions $\{k_j\}$ of (16), the energy eigenvalue E of the model is given as

$$E = 2\sum_{j=1}^{N}\cos k_j. \tag{17}$$

In summary, we have presented the Bethe ansatz equations for the 1D Bariev's correlated electron chain with boundaries in the context of the coordinate space Bethe ansatz technique. Our results would be useful in analysing the structure of the ground state and some low lying excitations of the model in the thermodynamic limit. An interesting problem is to investigate spectrum of boundary states in the model, as done in [10] for the open Hubbard chain.

This work is supported by Australian Research Council, University of Queensland New Staff Research Grant and External Support Enabling Grant. H.-Q.Z would like to thank Department of Mathematics of UQ for kind hospitality.

---

[1] R.Z. Bariev, J. Phys. **A:** Math. Gen. **24** (1991) L919.
[2] H.-Q. Zhou, Phys. Rev. **B53** (1996) 5089.
[3] E.K. Sklyanin, J. Phys. **A:** Math. Gen. **21** (1988) 2375.
[4] H.J. Schulz, J. Phys. **C:** Solid State Phys. **18** (1985) 581.
[5] H. Asakawa, M. Suzuki, J. Phys. **A:** Math. Gen. **29** (1996) 225.
[6] M. Shiroishi, M. Wadati, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **66** (1997) 1.
[7] A.J. Bracken, M.D. Gould, J.R. Links, Y.-Z. Zhang, Phys. Rev. Lett. **74** (1995) 2768.
[8] Y.-Z. Zhang, H.-Q. Zhou, "*Quantum integrability and exact solution of the supersymmetric U model with boundary terms*", preprint cond-mat/9707263.
[9] L. Mezincescu, R. Nepomechie, J. Phys. **A:** Math. Gen. **24** (1991) L17.
[10] G. Bedürftig, H. Frahm, "Spectrum of boundary states in the open Hubbard chain", preprint cond-mat/9702227.